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# **Introduction**

# **Source Code Undesirables Summary**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | **Findings** | Clone with 2 instances of length 16 |
| **Occurrences** | 4 |
| **Type** | Redundancy/Clones |
| **Category** | Code Duplication |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Test Smells*** - Test Code Duplication |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Test code may contain undesirable duplication. In particular the parts that set up test fixtures are susceptible to this problem. |
|  |  |  |
| **2** | **Findings** | Empty block: method |
| **Occurrences** | 2 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-empty-block |
| **Category** | Comprehensibility |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Configuration Smells*** - Unnecessary Abstraction |
| **Code Smell Summary** | A class, 'define', or module must contain declarations or statements specifying the properties of a desired system. An empty class, 'define', or module shows the presence of unnecessary abstraction smell and thus must be removed. |
|  |  |  |
| **3** | **Findings** | Commented Out Code |
| **Occurrences** | 2 |
| **Type** | Comments/Commented Out Code |
| **Category** | Comprehensibility |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Comments |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when comments are used as deodorant to explain the bad code. |
|  |  |  |
| **4** | **Findings** | Star import of `javax.persistence.\*` should not be used |
| **Occurrences** | 2 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-no-star-imports |
| **Category** | Bad Practice |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Design Smells*** - Obsolete Imports |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when certain classes are no longer used in a software system but loaded due to improper signature of import statements. Classes that are no longer in use will burden the system with obviously obsolete functionality. |
|  |  |  |
| **5** | **Findings** | Unused import: `com.userfront.domain.SavingsTransaction` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-java-unused-imports |
| **Category** | Unused Code |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Architecture Smells*** - Unused Packages |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Packages that are not in use burden the system with clearly obsolete functionality. |
|  |  |  |
| **6** | **Findings** | Method `System.out.println` should not be called |
| **Occurrences** | 3 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-java-unwanted-method-calls |
| **Category** | Discouraged APIs |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Design Smells*** - Poltergeist |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Irrelevant classes: An irrelevant class is a class that does not have any meaningful behaviour in the design. These types of classes are characterised for being composed only of get, set and/or print methods |
|  |  |  |
| **7** | **Findings** | `SimpleDateFormat` constructor should specify `Locale` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-avoid-creating-simple-date-format-without-locale |
| **Category** | API Misuse |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Design Smells*** -Divergent Change |
| **Code Smell Summary** | You find yourself having to change many unrelated methods when you make changes to a class. For example, when adding a new product type, you have to change the methods for finding, displaying, and ordering products. |
|  |  |  |
| **8** | **Findings** | Interface comment missing |
| **Occurrences** | 151 |
| **Type** | Comments/Missing Interface Comment |
| **Category** | Comment Completeness |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Shortage Smells*** - Debt |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Similarly, to Chant that covers up imperfect fragments with comments in natural language, there could be pieces missing entirely from the grammar and replaced with comments. If the comments admit clearly what is missing, use searchable tags like “TODO” or “FIXME” and are intended to use as a backlog. |
|  |  |  |
| **9** | **Findings** | TODO Auto-generated method stub |
| **Occurrences** | 3 |
| **Type** | Comments/Task Tags |
| **Category** | Documentation |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Comments |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when comments are used as deodorant to explain the bad code. |
|  |  |  |
| **10** | **Findings** | Catch clause catches generic exception `Exception` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-catch-high-level-exception |
| **Category** | Imprecise Handling |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Catch Block |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when a catch block of an exception is improperly handled with non-matching or non-precise exception libraries. |
|  |  |  |
| **11** | **Findings** | Logger should be specified with `UserServiceImpl.class` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-logger-with-wrong-specified-class |
| **Category** | Logging |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Attribute name and type are opposite |
| **Code Smell Summary** | The name of an attribute is in contradiction with its type as they contain antonyms. \Example: attribute start of type Association End. The use of antonyms can induce wrong assumptions. |
|  |  |  |
| **12** | **Findings** | Clone with 2 instances of length 28 |
| **Occurrences** | 2 |
| **Type** | Redundancy/Clones |
| **Category** | Code Duplication |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Test Smells*** - Test Code Duplication |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Test code may contain undesirable duplication. In particular the parts that set up test fixtures are susceptible to this problem. |
|  |  |  |
| **13** | **Findings** | Private field `env` is never read. |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-java-avoid-unused-private-fields |
| **Category** | Unused Code |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Design Smells*** - Unutilized Abstraction |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell arises when a variable is left unused (either not directly used or not reachable). |
|  |  |  |
| **14** | **Findings** | Empty block: constructor |
| **Occurrences** | 4 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-empty-block |
| **Category** | Comprehensibility |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Configuration Smells*** - Unnecessary Abstraction |
| **Code Smell Summary** | A class, 'define', or module must contain declarations or statements specifying the properties of a desired system. An empty class, 'define', or module shows the presence of unnecessary abstraction smell and thus must be removed. |
|  |  |  |
| **15** | **Findings** | Unused import: `com.userfront.domain.PrimaryTransaction` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-java-unused-imports |
| **Category** | Unused Code |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Architecture Smells*** - Unused Packages |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Packages that are not in use burden the system with clearly obsolete functionality. |
|  |  |  |
| **16** | **Findings** | Name `com.userfront.service.UserServiceImpl` violates naming convention. Should be one of `[a-z][a-z\_0-9.]\*` |
| **Occurrences** | 5 |
| **Type** | Naming/JAVA |
| **Category** | Comprehensibility |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Configuration Smells (Implementation)*** - Inconsistent Naming Convention |
| **Code Smell Summary** | The used naming convention deviates from the recommended naming convention. |
|  |  |  |
| **17** | **Findings** | Remove this use of `getBytes` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Compatibility issues |
| **Category** | Correctness |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - “Get” - more than an accessor |
| **Code Smell Summary** | A getter that performs actions other than returning the corresponding attribute without documenting it.Example: method getImageData which, no matter the attribute value, every time returns a new object. |
|  |  |  |
| **18** | **Findings** | Use `BigDecimal.ZERO` instead of creating new object |
| **Occurrences** | 2 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-unnecessary-big-integer-instantiation |
| **Category** | Performance |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Performance Smells*** - Unnecessary Processing |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when processing is not needed or not needed at that time. Solution: Delete the extra processing steps, reorder steps to detect unnecessary steps earlier, or restructure to delegate those steps to a background task. |
|  |  |  |
| **19** | **Findings** | Comment should not contain `/\*` |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-nested-comment |
| **Category** | Malformed Comments |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Configuration Smells (Implementation)*** - Improper Quote Usage |
| **Code Smell Summary** | Single and double quotes are not used properly. For example, Boolean values should not be quoted, and variable names should not be used in single quoted strings. |
|  |  |  |
| **20** | **Findings** | The `printStackTrace()` method should not be called |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-print-stack-trace |
| **Category** | Imprecise Handling |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Incomplete Library Class |
| **Code Smell Summary** | The author of the library has not provided the features you need or has refused to implement them. invoking printStackTrace() changes the destination pointed to by System.err by redirecting the process to a file/device whose contents may be ignored by personnel. |
|  |  |  |
| **21** | **Findings** | Throw of generic exception “Exception” |
| **Occurrences** | 1 |
| **Type** | Code Anomalies/cqse-throw-high-level-java-exceptions |
| **Category** | Imprecise Handling |
| **Code Smell type** | ***Implementation Smells*** - Catch Block |
| **Code Smell Summary** | This smell occurs when a catch block of an exception is improperly handled with non-matching or non-precise exception libraries. |
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Figure 1: Lines of Code vs. Findings Trend of **Candidate R** - Online Banking System
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Figure 2 : Findings Summary of **Candidate R** - Online Banking System

# **Re-Engineering Methods for Undesirables**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Findings** | Clone with 2 instances of length 16 |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | "clone" should not be overridden |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Suspicious |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Blocker |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Manimaran Palani |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | **Findings** | Clone with 2 instances of length 28 |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | "clone" should not be overridden |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Suspicious |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Blocker |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Manimaran Palani |
|  |  |  |
| 3 | **Findings** | Empty block: method |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | There are several reasons for a method not to have a method body: It is an unintentional omission and should be fixed to prevent an unexpected behaviour in production. It is not yet, or never will be, supported. In this case an UnsupportedOperationException should be thrown. The method is an intentionally blank override. In this case a nested comment should explain the reason for the blank override. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Suspicious |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Manimaran Palani |
|  |  |  |
| 4 | **Findings** | Private field `env` is never read. |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | A dead store happens when a local variable is assigned a value that is not read by any subsequent instruction. Calculating or retrieving a value only to then overwrite it or throw it away, could indicate a serious error in the code. Even if it’s not an error, it is at best a waste of resources. Therefore, all calculated values should be used. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Swe, cert, unused |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Manimaran Palani |
|  |  |  |
| 5 | **Findings** | Commented Out Code |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Programmers should not comment out code as it bloats programs and reduces readability. Unused code should be deleted and can be retrieved from source control history if required. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Unused |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Manimaran Palani |
|  |  |  |
| 6 | **Findings** | Empty block: constructor |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | There are several reasons for a method not to have a method body: It is an unintentional omission and should be fixed to prevent an unexpected behaviour in production. It is not yet, or never will be, supported. In this case an UnsupportedOperationException should be thrown. The method is an intentionally blank override. In this case a nested comment should explain the reason for the blank override. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Suspicious |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Iphigenia Pappas |
|  |  |  |
| 7 | **Findings** | Star import of `javax.persistence.\*` should not be used |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | On one side, Spring MVC automatically bind request parameters to beans declared as arguments of methods annotated with @RequestMapping. Because of this automatic binding feature, it’s possible to feed some unexpected fields on the arguments of the @RequestMapping annotated methods.  For this reason, using @Entity or @Document objects as arguments of methods annotated with @RequestMapping should be avoided. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Vulnerability*** |
| **Rule Tag** | cwe, spring, OWASP |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Iphigenia Pappas |
|  |  |  |
| 8 | **Findings** | Unused import: `com.userfront.domain.PrimaryTransaction` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Unused parameters are misleading. Whatever the values passed to such parameters, the behaviour will be the same. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Cert unused |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Iphigenia Pappas |
|  |  |  |
| 9 | **Findings** | Unused import: `com.userfront.domain.SavingsTransaction` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Unused parameters are misleading. Whatever the values passed to such parameters, the behaviour will be the same. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Cert, unused |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Iphigenia Pappas |
|  |  |  |
| 10 | **Findings** | Name `com.userfront.service.UserServiceImpl` violates naming convention. Should be one of `[a-z][a-z\_0-9.]\*` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Shared coding conventions allow teams to collaborate efficiently. This rule checks that all constant names match a provided regular expression. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Convention |
| **Undesirable Severity** | critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Heet Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 11 | **Findings** | Method `System.out.println` should not be called |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | The Java Collections framework defines interfaces such as java.util.List or java.util.Map. Several implementation classes are provided for each of those interfaces to fill different needs: some of the implementations guarantee a few given performance characteristics, some others ensure a given behaviour, for example immutability.  When calling one of the "optional" methods, a developer should therefore make sure that the implementation class on which the call is made indeed supports this method. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Bug*** |
| **Rule Tag** | N/A |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Heet Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 12 | **Findings** | Remove this use of `getBytes` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Getters and setters provide a way to enforce encapsulation by providing public methods that give controlled access to private fields. However, in classes with multiple fields it is not unusual that copy and paste is used to quickly create the needed getters and setters, which can result in the wrong field being accessed by a getter or setter.  This rule raises an issue in any of these cases: A setter does not update the field with the corresponding name. A getter does not access the field with the corresponding name. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Bug*** |
| **Rule Tag** | pitfall |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Heet Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 13 | **Findings** | `SimpleDateFormat` constructor should specify `Locale` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Non-abstract classes and enums with non-static, private members should explicitly initialize those members, either in a constructor or with a default value. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | pitfall |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Heet Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 14 | **Findings** | Use `BigDecimal.ZERO` instead of creating new object |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Duplicated string literals make the process of refactoring error-prone, since you must be sure to update all occurrences. On the other hand, constants can be referenced from many places, but only need to be updated in a single place. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | design |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Kevinkumar Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 15 | **Findings** | Interface comment missing |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | JavaDoc is not available for the classes, interface, and models |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | code smell |
| **Rule Tag** | unused |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Minor |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Kevinkumar Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 16 | **Findings** | Comment should not contain `/\*` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Shared coding conventions allow teams to collaborate efficiently. This rule checks that all constant names match a provided regular expression. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Convention |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Critical |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Kevinkumar Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 17 | **Findings** | TODO Auto-generated method stub |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | TODO tags are commonly used to mark places where some more code is required, but which the developer wants to implement later. Sometimes the developer will not have the time or will simply forget to get back to that tag. This rule is meant to track those tags and to ensure that they do not go unnoticed. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | swe |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Minor |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Kevinkumar Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 18 | **Findings** | Catch clause catches generic exception `Exception` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Using such generic exceptions as Error, RuntimeException, Throwable, and Exception prevents calling methods from handling true, system-generated exceptions differently than application-generated errors. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | cwe, error handling-cert |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Venis Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 19 | **Findings** | Throw of generic exception Exception |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Using such generic exceptions as Error, RuntimeException, Throwable, and Exception prevents calling methods from handling true, system-generated exceptions differently than application-generated errors. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | cwe, error handling-cert |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Venis Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 20 | **Findings** | Logger should be specified with `UserServiceImpl.class` |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | It is convention to name each class’s logger for the class itself. Doing so allows you to set up clear, communicative logger configuration. Naming loggers by some other convention confuses configuration and using the same class name for multiple class loggers prevents the granular configuration of each class' logger. Some libraries, such as SLF4J warn about this, but not all do.  This rule raises an issue when a logger is not named for its enclosing class. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | Confusing |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Minor |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | Rare |
| **Refactored By** | Venis Patel |
|  |  |  |
| 21 | **Findings** | The `printStackTrace()` method should not be called |
| **Reengineering Rule Description** | Because printf-style format strings are interpreted at runtime, rather than validated by the compiler, they can contain errors that result in the wrong strings being created. This rule statically validates the correlation of printf-style format strings to their arguments when calling the format(...) methods of java.util.Formatter, java.lang.String, java.io.PrintStream, MessageFormat, and java.io.PrintWriter classes and the printf(...) methods of java.io.PrintStream or java.io.PrintWriter classes. |
| **Reengineering Rule Type** | ***Code Smell*** |
| **Rule Tag** | cert, confusing |
| **Undesirable Severity** | Major |
| **Undesirable Likelihood** | High |
| **Refactored By** | Venis Patel |

# **Locations of the Source Code Undesirables**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Folder | File | Lines of Code | Source Lines of Code | Number of Findings |
| 1 | userfront/config | RequestFilter.java | 51 | 40 | 9 |
| 2 | userfront/config | SecurityConfig.java | 77 | 58 | 8 |
| 3 | userfront/controller | AccountController.java | 90 | 67 | 7 |
| 4 | userfront/controller | AppointmentController.java | 56 | 40 | 4 |
| 5 | userfront/controller | HomeController.java | 85 | 63 | 6 |
| 6 | userfront/controller | TransferController.java | 125 | 92 | 9 |
| 7 | userfront/controller | UserController.java | 50 | 34 | 3 |
| 8 | userfront/dao | AppointmentDao.java | 13 | 7 | 2 |
| 9 | userfront/dao | PrimaryAccountDao.java | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| 10 | userfront/dao | PrimaryTransactionDao.java | 13 | 7 | 2 |
| 11 | userfront/dao | RecipientDao.java | 16 | 9 | 4 |
| 12 | userfront/dao | RoleDao.java | 10 | 6 | 2 |
| 13 | userfront/dao | SavingsAccountDao.java | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| 14 | userfront/dao | SavingsTransactionDao.java | 14 | 7 | 2 |
| 15 | userfront/dao | UserDao.java | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 16 | userfront/domain/security | Authority.java | 19 | 12 | 2 |
| 17 | userfront/domain/security | Role.java | 50 | 32 | 4 |
| 18 | userfront/domain/security | UserRole.java | 59 | 39 | 5 |
| 19 | userfront/domain | Appointment.java | 86 | 67 | 1 |
| 20 | userfront/domain | PrimaryAccount.java | 66 | 46 | 2 |
| 21 | userfront/domain | PrimaryTransaction.java | 108 | 82 | 5 |
| 22 | userfront/domain | Recipient.java | 86 | 65 | 1 |
| 23 | userfront/domain | SavingsAccount.java | 63 | 46 | 2 |
| 24 | userfront/domain | SavingsTransaction.java | 106 | 82 | 5 |
| 25 | userfront/domain | User.java | 208 | 158 | 4 |
| 26 | userfront/resource | AppointmentResource.java | 34 | 25 | 3 |
| 27 | userfront/resource | UserResource.java | 55 | 43 | 4 |
| 28 | userfront/service/UserServiceImpl | AccountServiceImpl.java | 112 | 81 | 10 |
| 29 | userfront/service/UserServiceImpl | AppointmentServiceImpl.java | 36 | 26 | 6 |
| 30 | userfront/service/UserServiceImpl | TransactionServiceImpl.java | 145 | 110 | 15 |
| 31 | userfront/service/UserServiceImpl | UserSecurityService.java | 33 | 25 | 2 |
| 32 | userfront/service/UserServiceImpl | UserServiceImpl.java | 121 | 91 | 16 |
| 33 | userfront/service | AccountService.java | 18 | 12 | 7 |
| 34 | userfront/service | AppointmentService.java | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| 35 | userfront/service | TransactionService.java | 36 | 22 | 13 |
| 36 | userfront/service | UserService.java | 32 | 18 | 12 |
| 37 | userfront | UserFrontApplication.java | 13 | 9 | 2 |
|  |  | ***Total Lines of Code*** | **2142** | ***Total Findings*** | **190** |

# **Software Metric Log**

A software metric is a measure of software characteristics that are quantifiable or countable. Software metrics are important for many reasons, including measuring software performance, planning work items, measuring productivity, and many other uses.

With respect to candidate R, (online banking system)it has been subjected to TeamScale (A software metric analysing tool) to find out the deviations in the quality of the system before and after refactoring. The below representation emphasizes the relevant changes in the software metrics of the candidate R.

**Before Refactoring**
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Figure 3: Package Metrics of **Candidate** **R** - Online Banking System before Refactoring
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Figure 4 : Quality Metrics of **Candidate R** - Online Banking System before Refactoring

Yellow – Moderate Severity

Red – High Severity

**After Refactoring**
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Figure 5: Package Metrics of **Candidate R** - Online Banking System after Refactoring
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Figure 6 : Quality Metrics of **Candidate R** - Online Banking System after Refactoring

Yellow – Moderate Severity

Red – High Severity

# **Refactoring Report**

# **Software Specifications**

# **Refactored Source Code of R**

# **References**